Social isolation
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Social species, by definition, form organizations that extend beyond the individual. These structures evolved hand in hand with behavioral, neural, hormonal, cellular, and genetic mechanisms to support them because the consequent social behaviors helped these organisms survive, reproduce, and care for offspring sufficiently long that they too reproduced. Social isolation represents a lens through which to investigate these behavioral, neural, hormonal, cellular, and genetic mechanisms. Evidence from human and nonhuman animal studies indicates that isolation heightens sensitivity to social threats (predator evasion) and motivates the renewal of social connections. The effects of perceived isolation in humans share much in common with the effects of experimental manipulations of isolation in nonhuman social species: increased tonic sympathetic tonus and HPA activation; and decreased inflammatory control, immunity, sleep salubrity, and expression of genes regulating glucocorticoid responses. Together, these effects contribute to higher rates of morbidity and mortality in older adults.
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Introduction

Social species, by definition, form organizations that extend beyond the individual. These structures evolved hand in hand with behavioral, neural, hormonal, cellular, and genetic mechanisms to support them because the consequent social behaviors helped these organisms survive, reproduce, and care for offspring sufficiently long that they too reproduced, thereby ensuring their genetic legacy.

Social isolation has significant effects. About a quarter century ago, House, Landis, and Umberson1 published a landmark review of prospective epidemiological studies of social isolation in humans. They reported that social isolation was a significant risk factor for broad-based morbidity and mortality—a finding that subsequent research has confirmed.2 What was especially surprising was that social isolation was as strong a risk factor for morbidity and mortality as smoking, obesity, sedentary lifestyle, and high blood pressure.1 The “social control hypothesis” was posited to explain the effect of isolation. Social control theory holds that internalized obligations to, and the overt influence of, network members tend to discourage poor health behaviors and encourage good health behaviors.3 For instance, among women, direct social control (i.e., “how often does anyone tell or remind you to do anything to protect your health?”) predicted increased physical activity three years later.4 Being married is associated with an increased likelihood of engaging in health-promoting behaviors such as exercise,5–7 presumably because marital partners exert some influence over these behaviors.8,9

There are two reasons, however, to regard the social control hypothesis as insufficient. First, studies of isolation and health behaviors indicate that social control does not explain many of the effects of isolation in humans.10,11 Second, experimental studies in nonhuman social species indicate that isolation has direct, deleterious physiological effects. For instance, experimental studies have documented that social isolation decreases the lifespan of the fruit fly, Drosophila melanogaster;12 increases neuronal damage and mortality following experimental stroke through the modulation of...
pro-inflammatory cytokine expression, increases obesity and type 2 diabetes in mice; and delays the positive effects of running on adult neurogenesis in rats. Furthermore, social isolation increases the activation of the sympatho-adrenomedullary response to stress in rats; decreases the expression of genes regulating glucocorticoid response in the frontal cortex of piglets; increases basal cortisol concentrations, and decreases lymphocyte proliferation to mitogens in pigs; increases oxidative stress in the aortic arch of the Watanabe heritable hyperlipidemic rabbit; and increases the morning rises in cortisol in squirrel monkeys. Together, these experimental studies suggest that social isolation increases chronic sympathetic tonus, oxidative stress, and HPA activation while decreasing inflammatory control, and the expression of genes regulating glucocorticoid responses.

Social isolation in humans

In ontogeny and phylogeny, humans need others to survive and prosper. Animal studies of social isolation are an important complement to human studies because randomization and experimental manipulations of isolation in humans is limited in intensity and duration due to the risk of deleterious effects. In addition, longitudinal studies of social isolation in population-based samples with statistical controls for potential confounding variables (e.g., depressive symptomatology) have begun to identify potential behavioral, neural, hormonal, cellular, and genetic effects of isolation in humans. Among the findings in this research are that (1) perceived social isolation is a more important determinant of deleterious outcomes than is the variation in objective social isolation that is seen in population-based studies; and (2) the effects of perceived isolation in these longitudinal studies share much in common with the effects of experimental manipulations of isolation in nonhuman social species: increased sympathetic tonus, HPA activation, decreased inflammatory control, expression of genes regulating glucocorticoid responses, and glucocorticoid resistance.

Humans are capable of deception, betrayal, exploitation, and murder as well as empathy, compassion, loyalty, and prosocial behavior. Given shifting alliances and malleable social hierarchies, the presence of others, even ostensive friends, may constitute a social threat at any moment in time. The objective presence of others, therefore, is not sufficient to ensure the social connections needed for human survival and prosperity. Fortunately, assessments have been developed with which to measure perceived as well as objective isolation. Studies using these assessments indicate that objective social isolation can affect perceptions of isolation but show that perceived social isolation is more closely related to the quality than the quantity of social interactions.

When the effects of each are contrasted, perceived social isolation predicts various outcomes above and beyond what is predicted by objective isolation. Beyond what is predicted by objective isolation, perceived isolation predicts greater vascular resistance, elevated blood pressure, morning rise in cortisol, less salubrious sleep, and sedentary lifestyles. Perceived (but not objective) social isolation has also been associated with gene expression—specifically, the underexpression of genes bearing antiinflammatory glucocorticoid response elements and overexpression of genes bearing response elements for proinflammatory NK-κB/Rel transcription factors. This finding is paralleled by decreases in lymphocyte sensitivity to physiological regulation by the hypothalamic pituitary adrenocortical (HPA) axis in individuals who feel socially isolated. Together with evidence of increased activity of the HPA axis, these results suggest that the development of glucocorticoid resistance may result from chronic exposure to perceived social isolation.

In a longitudinal study of cognitive functioning, Wilson et al. reported that perceived social isolation predicted cognitive decline and risk for Alzheimer's disease. Importantly, perceived isolation persisted in predicting each of these outcomes even when social network size and frequency of social activity were statistically held constant. Similarly, perceived isolation has been found to predict lifetime change in IQ and changes in depressive symptomatology beyond what could be predicted by objective isolation. Experimental manipulation of social isolation and imagined future isolation result in cognitive and behavioral changes even though objective isolation is not altered in these experimental studies. An experience sampling study, in which participants were beeped randomly nine times per day for seven days, confirmed that the social interactions of individuals who feel isolated, in contrast to connected, were more negative and less
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In an fMRI study, we found that individual dif-
fferences in perceived isolation predicted the activ-
ation of the visual cortex to the presentation of 
unpleasant social, in contrast to nonsocial, pictures, 
consistent with the remnants of greater predator 
evasion and a stronger focus on self-preservation in 
individuals who feel isolated. To examine this pos-
sibility, activation in the temporoparietal junction 
(TPJ)—a region that has been found previously to 
be activated in theory of mind tasks and in tasks in 
which individuals take the perspective of another— 
was also examined. TPJ activation was observed 
when participants viewed unpleasant pictures of 
people versus objects and, as would be expected 
if isolation increases a focus on predator evasion 
and self-preservation, individual differences in per-
ceived social isolation were inversely related to the 
amount of activation observed in the TPJ.

We also investigated the extent to which indi-
vidual differences in perceived isolation predicted 
differential activation of the ventral striatum to 
pleasant social versus matched nonsocial images. 
The ventral striatum, a key component of the 
mesolimbic dopamine system, is rich in dopamin-
ergic neurons and is critical in reward process-
ning and learning. The ventral striatum is 
activated by primary rewards such as stimulant 
drugs, abstinence-induced cravings for primary 
rewards, and secondary rewards such as money. 
Evidence that social rewards also activate the ven-
tral striatum has begun to accumulate in studies of 
romantic love, social cooperation, social com-
parison, and punitive altruism. We found that 
perceived isolation predicted weaker activation of 
the ventral striatum to pleasant pictures of people 
and of equally pleasant pictures of objects. These 
results raise several interesting questions. As noted 
above, an experience sampling study indicated that 
individuals who felt socially isolated regard 
pleasant interpersonal interactions as less pleasant 
than do individuals who feel socially connected.

Does perceived isolation modulate the responsive-
ness of rudimentary reward systems to social and 
nonsocial stimuli, or do preexisting differences in
dopaminergic response to appetitive social and nonsocial stimuli lead to differences in social isolation? Although animal studies may be particularly informative, the finding that individual differences in perceived social isolation are about 50% heritable increases the plausibility of the latter hypothesis.

**Heritability**

That perceived isolation has an evolutionary basis is suggested by adoption and twin studies with children and with adults. These studies indicate that the heritability of individual differences in perceived isolation is approximately 50%. We have interpreted this heritability as reflecting differences in sensitivity to the pain of social disconnection. But what evidence is there that this heritability reflects, at least in part, individual differences in sensitivity to social disconnection? Myron Hofer's research on the selective breeding of rats provides a provocative case. A well-characterized response to maternal separation is the separation cry. In the rat, the separation cry is in the ultrasonic range (40–45 kHz). These ultrasonic vocalizations (USVs) to isolation are attenuated in a dose-related fashion by anxiolytics that act on benzodiazepine and serotonin receptors and are exaggerated by anxiogenics such as the benzodiazepine receptor partial inverse agonist FG7142. Neuroanatomical studies reviewed by Hofer point to the periaqueductal gray area as a neural substrate for these USVs in rats and the hypothalamus, amygdala, thalamus, hippocampus, and cingulate cortex as the neural substrates for isolation calls in primates. As Hofer notes,

The evolution of such a response is clarified by the finding that infant rat USV is a powerful stimulus for the lactating rat, capable of causing her to interrupt an ongoing nursing bout, initiate searching outside the nest, and direct her search toward the source of the calls . . . The mother's retrieval response to the pup's vocal signals then results in renewed contact between pup and mother. This contact, in turn, quiets the pup.

Hofer uses the concept of attachment to describe the emotional expression represented by the USVs and the reestablishment of the social bond by the maternal search for, renewed contact with, and comfort response of the rat pup.

Although the infant USV helps guide the mother to their offspring, these USVs can also lead predators to the infant. USVs may be beneficial or deleterious depending on the presence of predators in the environment. As a consequence, no single level of intensity of USVs to isolation is universally best, and heritable individual differences in this predisposition exist in the population. Some rat pups, who might be characterized as sensitive to separation, cry frequently (albeit ultrasonically) when isolated, whereas others are less sensitive to separation and show less distress when isolated. Hofer and colleagues selectively bred adult rats that, as rat pups, showed either high or relatively low rates of USVs to separation. After 25 generations of selective breeding, differences in behavior between the two lines of rats were reminiscent of some of the differences observed in humans who are high or low in perceived isolation: the high, relative to low, USV line showed more distress to isolation as an infant; greater latency to play as an adolescent; and greater depression-like behaviors, greater anxiety-like behaviors, greater latency to social interactions, greater startle, and diminished learning as an adult. That is, the high USV line was anxious and passive, whereas the low USV line was exploratory, active, and aggressive. This work, then, suggests a link between individual differences in perceived social isolation and attachment processes and points to a specific aspect of the phenotype (sensitivity to isolation) and an evolutionary mechanism for this phenotype. Note, however, that in this context the mother–infant attachment builds on heritable differences in sensitivity to isolation rather than the pain of isolation resulting from poor infant attachment behaviors by the mother. Moreover, perceived isolation in humans represents a complex phenotype, so attachment may not represent its sole evolutionary basis.

**Summary**

Experimental, cross-sectional, and longitudinal studies are beginning to elucidate the various ways in which perceived isolation is related to, and in some cases affects, human neural, hormonal, cellular, and genetic processes. Early in our history as a species, we survived and prospered only by banding together—in couples, in families, in tribes—to provide mutual protection and assistance. The pain of (perceived) isolation evolved like any other form of pain. Indeed, there is now considerable evidence that social isolation, and the pain associated with
the disconnection that it produces, can fruitfully be conceived as a biological construct, a state that has evolved as an aversive signal that motivates behavior changes to help individuals avoid damage and promote the transmission of genes to the gene pool. In the case of isolation, the signal is a prompt to be alert to social threats (predator evasion) and to renew the connections we need to survive and prosper. The effects of perceived isolation in humans share much in common with the effects of experimental manipulations of isolation in nonhuman social species: increased sympathetic tonus and HPA activation; glucocorticoid resistance; and decreased inflammatory control, immunity, sleep salutarity, and expression of genes regulating glucocorticoid responses. The combination of immunosuppression and proinflammatory effects may be especially caustic. Together, these effects contribute to higher rates of morbidity and mortality in older adults.
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